# Letters to the Editor

#### Serum antibodies in giardiasis

We read with interest the article by Ridley and Ridley on serum antibodies in giardiasis (J. clin. Path., 1976, 29, 30-34). We offer this additional information.

Earlier workers have in fact studied and reported on the serological response to Giardia lamblia infection. Two Romanian workers (Halita and Isaicu, 1946) showed that an antibody capable of fixing complement is produced in giardiasis. And Vinnikov in the Soviet Union in 1949 reported that an intradermal test was demonstrable in this disease. Both groups of workers used as antigen Giardia trophozoites recovered from the host by duodenal intubation, and, like Ridley and Ridley, both were frustrated in the further development of their tests by the lack of a consistent supply of pure antigen.

We reported in 1974 the successful use of the indirect immunofluorescent test in demonstrating a serological response in giardiasis. As antigen we used G. muris and G. duodenalis trophozoites washed directly from small intestine. The following are our results in brief: The sera of 28 of 31 persons with symptomatic giardiasis were immunofluorescent positive, with titres of 1:50 to 1:200. The IgG, IgM, and IgA serum immunoglobulin levels in these 28 patients, as determined by the Mancini immunodiffusion test, were all either normal or raised. The three giardiasis patients with immunofluorescent negative sera all had decreased immunoglobulin levels. The sera of five patients with other parasitic infections were immunofluorescent negative.

The finding by Ridley and Ridley of circulating antibody against Giardia in 10/34 of their malabsorption patients in whom Giardia could not be demonstrated seems high, and we agree with them that it cannot be assumed that all 10 patients had cryptic giardiasis.

With the recent report by Meyer (1976) of a method of axenically cultivating G. lamblia, a reliable source of antigen is now available which we plan to use in continuation of the above studies.

#### References

Halita, M. and Isaicu, L. (1946). Reactia de fixare a complementului in lambliaza intestinala. Ardeal. med., 6, 154.

- Meyer, E. A. (1976). Giardia lamblia: isolation and axenic cultivation Exp. Parasit., 39, 101-105.
- Radulescu, S., Meyer, E., Iancu, L., and Simionescu, O. (1974). Some aspects of the immune response in giardiasis. Third International Congress of Parasitology, Munich.
- Vinnikov, M. E. (1949). Lambliosis. (In Russian). Sovet. med., 13 (12), 18-20.

SIMONA RADULESCU, LARISSA IANCU, OLGA SIMIONESCU, and ERNEST A. MEYER Cantacuzino Institute and Faculty of Medicine, Bucharest, Romania, and Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Oregon Health Sciences Center, Portland, Oregon 97201, USA

### Erratum

Dr R. D. Baynton of Calgary has drawn my attention to an error in my paper reviewing methods for the study of glycosaminoglycan excretion (J. clin. Path., 29, 111, 1976). In the appendix under the heading of Screening Test the formula for the calculation (p. 118) should not include the Figure 10 as denominator and the next sentence should read 'One CPC unit is equivalent to 1 mg of CS' and not 'equivalent to the absorbance given by a solution containing 10 mg CS per litre'.

The error arose because the original description of the method (J. clin. Path., 22, 379, 1969) stated that 'one unit is equivalent to the optical density of a 1 mg per 100 ml solution of chondroitin sulphate'. Conversion of this to the SI unit of volume led to the statement that one unit is equivalent to the absorbance given by a solution containing 10 mg of CS per litre and hence the tenfold error. The unit of volume in the original method is quite irrelevant and hence the conversion was a conceptual error which it took myself and several colleagues a considerable time to recognize. In common with current clinical laboratory practice we all blamed SI units! In spite of the error, the normal range given in table I is correct.

#### C. A. PENNOCK

Department of Chemical Pathology, **Bristol Maternity Hospital** 

## Book reviews

Clin Pathol: first pub The Musculoskeletal System. Edited by Frank C. Wilson. (Pp. xiii + 259; illustrated; £12·25.) Philadelphia: Lippincott

This book is concerned with the bones S joints, and muscles of the body together with their associated structures. Its virtue lies in its bringing together in an accessible and readable form the important aspect of their embryology, anatomy, histology and physiology together with relevant pathological changes and selected clinica features. The wide variety of material is 2 covered in condensed form, assisted by o diagrams which are generally simple and helpful. The text is directed to the ە: 'learner' (and all of us are learners in various fields) though recent advances have not been neglected. Pathological pro-cesses in bone, joints, and muscle area ᇰ reasonably well though briefly covered a g the level intended, and the last section deals with trauma, especially healing. The book could be helpful to those in training of including young pathologists, and also to those not-so-young who want to reviseo relatively painlessly the basic information provided. Whether they would be pre-X pared to pay the price asked is another matter. 1976.

s. sevitto. ata

The Immune System. Edited by M. J.2 Hobart and Ian McConnell. (Pp. xxiii + 357; illustrated, £5:00) Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications. 1975.

Downloaded from This multi-author book is based on the ₹ course in advanced immunology given at the Royal Postgraduate Medical School edited so as to be, in effect, a completen text-book of immunology. It is extremely up to date, and all the contributions are  $\omega$ excellent, the emphasis being whenever possible on the genetic, biochemical and cellular basis for immunological phenomena. The style is light and easy, but of there is no pandering to the beginner, S who should first master Roitt's Essential ъ Immunology, while for those interested in 5 the application of modern immunology to disease, it prepares the ground for Gell, Coombs, and Lachman's Clinical Aspects 2025 of Immunology. With three such books the student of immunology is now handby guest. somely catered for. The present volume is well produced and laid out with copious